REMARKS

This Amendment is in response to the Office Action mailed 02/21/2007. The action was an *Ex parte Quayle* action..

Specification

2.   The Examiner objects to the specification because of the following informalities:

In paragraph 0007, lines 1-2, the sentence is unclear as to what is being described and appears to be inaccurate, particularly in view of the description in paragraph 0040, lines 7-8.

Following a telephonic interview on 03/09/2007 in which the relevant paragraphs were discussed, the Examiner agreed that paragraph 0007 was clear and not inaccurate with respect to the description of paragraph 0040.

Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner withdraw the objection to the specification.

Allowable Subject Matter

3.   Applicant notes with appreciation the Examiner's allowance of claims 1-13 and 20-25.

Conclusion

Applicant reserves all rights with respect to the applicability of the doctrine of equivalents. Applicant respectfully requests that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.

Authorization for Extension of Time, All Replies

Authorization is given to treat any concurrent or future reply, requiring a petition for an extension of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a) for its timely submission, as incorporating a petition for extension of time for the appropriate length of time. If any other petition is necessary for consideration of this paper, it is hereby so petitioned. Please charge any shortage in fees in connection with the filing of this paper, including extension of time fees, to Deposit Account 02-2666 and please credit any excess fees to such deposit account.

Respectfully submitted,

BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP

Dated: 03/29/2007   By /James Henry/
_____

James Henry
Reg. No. 41,064
Tel.: (714) 557-3800 (Pacific Coast)